

Review of List of Indiana Sources Subject to  
Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) Data Requirements Rule (DRR)

As required by the DRR, on January 7, 2016, Indiana submitted a list of sources to be subject to provisions of the DRR for air quality characterization or otherwise addressing nearby air quality. All of the sources listed by Indiana were listed because their recent emissions exceeded 2,000 tons per year (tpy).

The DRR provides that, in addition to sources emitting over 2,000 tpy, sources emitting less than 2,000 that nevertheless have high potential for causing violations of the SO<sub>2</sub> air quality standard may also be listed at the discretion of the state and EPA. EPA is concerned about the potential for violations in the vicinity of the U.S. Mineral Products, known as Isolatek, a mineral wool manufacturer located near Huntington, Indiana. The following sections describe the evidence regarding recent emissions at Isolatek and the reasons that EPA believes that Isolatek warrants listing as subject to the DRR.

Emissions from Isolatek

A critical challenge in assessing emissions from Isolatek is addressing the emissions arising from sulfur contained in the slag that the company processes. Emissions for this facility have been estimated by using the AP-42 emission factor for SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, which for cupolas at mineral wool manufacturing facilities is 8.0 pounds of SO<sub>2</sub> per ton of feed charged. However, the rating of this emission factor is D, and actual emissions from a mineral wool manufacturer can be highly dependent on the sulfur content of the slag.

A better estimate of the emissions from this facility is obtained by applying the results of a stack test conducted on December 18, 2007. This stack test indicated emissions of 21.6 pounds of SO<sub>2</sub> per ton of charged material.

The emissions rate reported for 2014 in the draft 2014 National Emissions Inventory was 164 tons. We believe a more appropriate emission estimate for this facility would be based on a cupola emission factor of 21.6 pounds per ton rather than 8.0 pounds per ton. Thus, we believe that a more appropriate estimate of 2014 emissions from this facility would be approximately 444 tons of SO<sub>2</sub>.

Furthermore, based on production data obtained by Region 5's Air Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Branch, 2014 seems to have been a year with unusually low production, with production at about 36 percent of capacity. Available evidence indicates that the company produced as much mineral wool in the first half of 2015 as it produced in all of 2014. Production in 2015 appears more representative of normal production. Thus, emissions during times of normal production appear to be over 800 tons per year.

Other Factors

According to information that EPA obtained from the state, the stack at Isolatek is relatively short,

having a height of 14.6 meters, or 48 feet. As a result, preliminary review of this facility indicates the likelihood of nearby concentrations exceeding multiple times the air quality standard slightly east of the city of Huntington, where the facility is located.

#### Conclusion

Isolatek has significant potential for causing violations of the SO<sub>2</sub> standard. Further review is warranted to determine whether violations are in fact occurring near this facility. Thus, this facility appears to warrant listing as a source subject to the requirements of the DRR.